

1) To prove that $f(x) = x^2$ is not uniformly continuous on $I = [0, +\infty)$ we have to show that:

$$\exists \epsilon_0 > 0 \quad \forall \delta > 0 \quad \exists x_\delta, y_\delta \in I \quad (|x_\delta - y_\delta| < \delta \wedge |f(x_\delta) - f(y_\delta)| \geq \epsilon_0). \quad (1)$$

Take $\epsilon_0 = 1$. Let $\delta > 0$ be given. Take $x_\delta = \frac{1}{\delta}$, $y_\delta = \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{\delta}{2}$.

Then $x_\delta, y_\delta \in I$ and:

$$|x_\delta - y_\delta| = \frac{\delta}{2} < \delta.$$

Also:

$$|f(x_\delta) - f(y_\delta)| = |x_\delta^2 - y_\delta^2| = |x_\delta - y_\delta| \cdot |x_\delta + y_\delta| = \frac{\delta}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{\delta} + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{\delta} = 1$$

Thus, (1).

2) Take $\epsilon > 0$. As f and g are uniformly continuous on I , there exist $\delta_1 > 0$, $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{provided } |x - y| < \delta_1, \quad x, y \in I$$

$$|g(x) - g(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{provided } |x - y| < \delta_2, \quad x, y \in I$$

Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Then $\delta > 0$ and

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad |g(x) - g(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{provided } |x - y| < \delta, \quad x, y \in I. \quad (2)$$

(2) implies:

$$|(f(x) + g(x)) - (f(y) + g(y))| \leq |f(x) - f(y)| + |g(x) - g(y)| < \epsilon$$

provided $|x - y| < \delta, \quad x, y \in I$.

Therefore, $f + g$ is uniformly continuous on I .

3) Take $f(x) = x$, $g(x) = x$, $I = [0, +\infty)$. The product $(f \cdot g)(x) = x^2$ is not uniformly continuous on I by Problem 1. $f(x)$ (and therefore $g(x)$) is uniformly continuous on I . Indeed, for every $\epsilon > 0$ take $\delta = \epsilon$. We have for every $x, y \in I$:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |x - y| < \epsilon \quad \text{provided } |x - y| < \delta.$$

4) It suffices to prove that the function

$$h(x) = 1 + x - \cos x - C$$

is 0 at some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that h is continuous on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ as

$1, c, \cos x, x$ are all continuous. Observe:

$$h(x) = 1 + x - \cos x - c \leq x - c + 2 < 0 \text{ for } x < c - 2$$

$$h(x) = 1 + x - \cos x - c \geq x - c > 0 \text{ for } x > c.$$

The inequalities follow as $|\cos x| \leq 1$. Take $a < c - 2$, $b > c$.

Then $f(a) < 0$, $f(b) > 0$. Thus, by Corollary 24.1, there exists $x_0 \in (a, b)$ such that $f(x_0) = 0$. (Cor 24.1 is a corollary from the IVT.)

5) Suppose the contrary; that is, suppose that for some $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$. Without any loss of generality we may assume that $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$. Let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $f(x_1) < q < f(x_2)$. Such q exists by the density of rationals. By the IVT or more precisely by Cor. 24.1, there exists c in (x_1, x_2) or (x_2, x_1) such that $f(c) = q$. Contradiction as f takes only irrational values. Thus, f must be constant.

6) Consider the function $h(x) = x - f(x)$. As $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $h(0) \leq 0$. If $h(0) = 0$, $x = 0$ is a fixed point. Suppose then $h(0) < 0$. As $f(1) \leq 1$, $h(1) = 1 - f(1) \geq 0$. If $h(1) = 0$, then $1 - f(1) = 0$, $f(1) = 1$, and $x = 1$ is a fixed point. Suppose then $h(1) > 0$. h is continuous in $[0, 1]$, $h(0) < 0$, and $h(1) > 0$. By the IVT, there exists $c \in (0, 1)$ such that $h(c) = c - f(c) = 0$. Then $f(c) = c$ and c is a fixed point.

7) Consider the function $h(x) = f(x) - g(x)$. h is continuous in $(-\infty, \infty)$ and $h(x) = 0$ whenever $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Take any $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Take a sequence $q_n \in \mathbb{Q}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = y$. By Heine's characterization of continuity, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(q_n) = h(y)$. Since $h(q_n) = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $h(y) = 0$. Thus, h is constant and equal to 0 in $(-\infty, \infty)$ which gives $f(x) = g(x)$ for $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Note: the existence of the sequence $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ follows from Pr. 3 Homework 5.

8) Suppose that there exists an $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f attains a_0 only finitely many times; that is, there is a finite number of values of x , say x_1, \dots, x_m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $f(x_i) = a_0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let b be such that $b \geq \max\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$.

Then the closed bounded interval $[0, b]$ contains all points where f takes value a_0 . In other words:

$$f(x) \neq a \quad \text{for all } x > b. \quad (3)$$

(3) implies that

$$f(x) > a \quad \text{for all } x > b \quad \text{or} \quad f(x) < a \quad \text{for all } x > b. \quad (4)$$

Indeed, if at some point \bar{x} , $\bar{x} > b$ we have $f(\bar{x}) > a$ and $f(\bar{x}) < a$ then by the IVT there exists a point c between \bar{x} , \bar{x} such that $f(c) = a$. That contradicts (3). Thus, (4) holds.

Suppose

$$f(x) > a \quad \text{for all } x > b. \quad (5)$$

By the Boundedness Theorem, for some $M > 0$ we have

$$-M \leq f(x) \leq M \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, b]. \quad (6)$$

Take $K = \min\{a, -M\}$. Then by (5) and (6);

$$f(x) \geq K \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, +\infty).$$

The latter contradicts the assumption that f is not bounded below. Thus, (5) cannot hold. Suppose the second part of (4) holds; that is:

$$f(x) < a \quad \text{for all } x > b. \quad (7)$$

Take $K = \max\{M, a\}$. By (6) and (7);

$$f(x) \leq K \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, +\infty).$$

Again a contradiction as f is not bounded above. Thus, either possibility in (4) leads to a contradiction. Therefore, the supposition that f attains a finitely many times leads to a contradiction and the theorem of Problem 8 is proved. ■