

3) Prove Prop 16, page 45.

To prove that

$$\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{E}} F \neq \emptyset \quad (1)$$

suppose the contrary. That is, suppose $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{E}} F = \emptyset$.

Then $\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{E}} \sim F = \mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{E}} \sim F$. Since all sets

F are closed, all sets $\sim F$ are open. Hence, $\{\sim F\}_{F \in \mathcal{E}}$ is an open cover for \mathbb{R} . Let $F_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ be bounded. Since $F_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $\{\sim F\}_{F \in \mathcal{E}}$ is an open cover for F_0 . F_0 is closed and bounded.

From the Heine-Borel Theorem, there exists a finite subcover

$\{\sim F_1, \sim F_2, \dots, \sim F_k\}$ for F_0 :

$$F_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k \sim F_i. \quad (2)$$

(2) implies easily that $F_0 \cap F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_k = \emptyset$. Indeed, if $x \in F_0 \cap F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_k$, then $x \in F_0$ and $x \notin \sim F_i$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, k$. Contradiction with (2). Thus, $F_0 \cap F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_k = \emptyset$ which contradicts the assumption that every finite subcollection of \mathcal{E} has a nonempty intersection.

Thus, (1).

1) Yes, $A \sim B \in \mathcal{A}$; that is \mathcal{A} is closed with respect to the difference of sets. Note first that \mathcal{A} is closed with respect to the intersection. Let $C, D \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $\sim C, \sim D \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\sim C \cup \sim D = \sim(C \cap D) \in \mathcal{A}$. The latter gives $\sim(\sim(C \cap D)) = C \cap D \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, \mathcal{A} is closed with respect to the intersection. But $A \sim B = A \cap \sim B$. Thus, $A \sim B \in \mathcal{A}$.

5) (c) of Th 8.1 : Let

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \{ (a, b) : a < b, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \}, \mathcal{C}_2 = \{ [a, b] : a < b, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

We proved in class that $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)$. To prove that

$$\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{C}_2), \quad (3)$$

we use Prop 8.1. We have to show that

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C}_1). \quad (4)$$

Take any $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Then

$$(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}].$$

As $[a + \frac{1}{n}, b - \frac{1}{n}] \in \mathcal{C}_2$, $(a, b) \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)$ and the first part of (4) is proved. To prove the second part, take any $[a, b] \in \mathcal{C}_2$.

Then

$$[a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n}).$$

Since $(a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n}) \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)$ as any σ -algebra is closed with respect to countable intersections, $[a, b] \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)$.

Hence, (4). By Prop 8.1, $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) = \mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{C}_2)$.

6) (d) of Th 8.1. Let

$$\mathcal{C}_3 = \{ (a, +\infty) : a \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{C}_3 \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C}_3). \quad (5)$$

Indeed, (5) via Prop 8.1 gives $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_3) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)$. Since $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) = \mathcal{B}$, $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_3) = \mathcal{B}$.

To prove (5), observe that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$(a, +\infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, n).$$

Thus, $\mathcal{C}_3 \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C}_1)$. To prove the second part of (5), take $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C}_1$.

We have $(a, +\infty) \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}_3)$ and $(b - \frac{1}{n}, +\infty) \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}_3)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Thus, $(-\infty, b - \frac{1}{n}) \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}_3)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$(a, +\infty) \cap (-\infty, b - \frac{1}{n}) \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}_3)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, $(a, b - \frac{1}{n}] \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}_3)$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, which gives $(a, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a, b - \frac{1}{n}] \in \sigma(\mathcal{C}_3)$. Thus, (5).